Natural Resources Conservation Service

Application Ranking Summary EQIP General FA

Program:	Ranking Date:	Application Number:
Ranking Tool: EQIP General FA		Applicant:
Final Ranking Score:		Address:
Planner:		Telephone:
Farm Location:		

National Priorities Addressed

Issue Questions		
1. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in considerable reductions of non-point source pollution, such as nutrients, sediment, pesticides, excess salinity in impaired watersheds consistent with TMDL's where available as well as the reduction of groundwater contamination or point source contamination from confined animal feeding operations?		
2. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in the conservation of a considerable amount of ground or surface water resources?	Yes O or No O	
3. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction of emissions, such as particulate matter, nitrogen oxides (NOx), volatile organic compounds, and ozone precursors and depleters that contribute to air quality impairment violations of National Ambient Air Quality Standards?		
4. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction in soil erosion and sedimentation from unacceptable levels on agricultural land?		
5. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable increase in the promotion of at-risk species habitat conservation?		

State Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. SOIL EROSION - less than 3 tons/ac/yr will be saved by the installed practices from sheet and rill and /or wind erosion	Yes O or No O
2. SOIL EROSION - 3 to 5 tons/ac/yr soil will be saved by the installed practices from sheet and rill and/or wind erosion	Yes O or No O
3. SOIL EROSION - greater than 5 tons/ac/yr will be saved by the installed practices from sheet and rill and/or wind erosion	
4. SOIL EROSION - the Soil Conditioning Index changes from negative to at least 0.0 on the field	Yes O or No O
5. SOIL EROSION - structural practices Diversion (362), Grade Stabilization Structure (410), Grassed Waterway (412), Water and Sediment Control Basin (638), Dam (402) or other structural practices will be installed to control ephemeral or gully erosion	Yes O or No O
6. NON-POINT SOURCE POLLUTION - Nutrient management (590) will be implemented	Yes O or No O
7. NON-POINT SOURCE POLLUTION - Conservation Crop Rotation-Organic (328b), Well Decommissioning (351), Riparian Forest Buffer (391), Filter Strip (393), Pest Management (595), Sinkhole Treatment (725) or Use Exclusion in a riparian area (472) will be implemented	
8. NON-POINT SOURCE POLLUTION - Contour Buffer Strips (332), Field Border (386), Irrigation Water Management (449), Streambank and Shoreline Protection (580), Environmental Quality Assessment (MN252), or, when installed to improve water quality but not part of a complete runoff control system: Diversion (362), Roof Runoff Management (558), and Closure of Waste Impoundment (380) will be implemented	
9. NON-POINT SOURCE POLLUTION - existing FLEVAL rating is 1 to 10	Yes O or No O
10. NON-POINT SOURCE POLLUTION - existing FLEVAL rating is 11 to 25	Yes O or No O
11. NON-POINT SOURCE POLLUTION - existing FLEVAL rating is 26 to 49	Yes O or No O
12. NON-POINT SOURCE POLLUTION - existing FLEVAL rating is greater than 49	Yes O or No O
13. NON-POINT SOURCE POLLUTION - waste storage will be implemented to eliminate a groundwater pollution problem where a feedlot runoff problem does not exist	Yes O or No O

14. NON-POINT SOURCE POLLUTION - storage or composting of manure is required ONLY to eliminate a	Yes O or No O
land-spreading problem	
15. NON-POINT SOURCE POLLUTION - Animal Morality Facility (316), Silage Leachate Abatement system, or Milkhouse Wastewater system will be implemented to address a single problem.	Yes O or No O
16. NON-POINT SOURCE POLLUTION - Animal Morality Facility (316), Silage Leachate Abatement system, or Milkhouse Wastewater system will be implemented as part of a complete Wastewater and Feedlot Runoff Control system	Yes O or No O
17. HABITAT CONSERVTION - Prescribed Burning (338), Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment (380), Fish Stream Improvement (395), Restoration and Management of Declining Habitat (643), Upland Wildlife Habitat Management (645), Early Successional Habitat Development (647), Wetland Restoration (657), or Pond for wildlife (402) will be implemented	Yes O or No O
18. HABITAT CONSERVATION - A wildlife practice will be implemented that benefits a threatened and endangered species according to MN eFOTG Section II.D	Yes O or No O
19. HABITAT CONSERVATION - A practice will be implemented that benefits native pollinators according to Native Habitat Development for Pollinators-Minnesota guidelines	Yes O or No O
20. AIR QUALITY - A practice will be implemented specifically to improve air quality	Yes O or No O
21. AIR QUALITY - A practice will be implemented to address other resource concerns, but also addresses air quality as a secondary concern	Yes O or No O
22. WATER QUALITY - Sensitive Water Bodies - the application is located within: -a watershed impaired by turbidity, fecal coliform, or excess nutrients -a Source Water Assessment Area -a Drinking Water Supply Management Area with medium to very high vulnerablity -a very high to high Sensitivity Aquifer AND the practice will be implemented to address a water quality concern	
23. WATER QUALITY - Distance to a receiving water - the application addresses soil erosion or non-point source pollution and is less than 100 feet from a receiving water	Yes O or No O
24. WATER QUALITY - Distance to a receiving water - the application addresses soil erosion or non-point source pollution and is 100 to 500 feet from a receiving water	Yes O or No O
25. WATER QUALITY - Distance to a receiving water - the application addresses soil erosion or non-point source pollution and is 501 to 1000 feet from a receiving water	Yes O or No O
26. WATER QUALITY - Distance to a receiving water - the application addresses soil erosion or non-point source pollution and is 1001 to 2000 feet from a receiving water	Yes O or No O
27. WATER QUALITY - Distance to a recieving water - the application addresses only habitat conservation, grazing systems, or forest management and is less than 100 feet from a receiving water	Yes O or No O
28. WATER QUALITY - Distance to a receiving water - the application addresses only habitat conservation, grazing systems, or forest management and is 100 to 500 feet from a receiving water	Yes O or No O
29. WATER QUALITY - Distance to a receiving water - the application addresses only habitat conservation, grazing systems, or forest management and is 501 to 1000 feet from a receiving water	Yes O or No O
30. GRAZING SYSTEMS - Prescribed Grazing (528a) including Organic systems will be implemented	Yes O or No O
31. FOREST MANAGEMENT - Forest Site Preparation (490), Forest Trails and Landings (655), Forest Stand Improvement (666), or Tree Planing (612) will be implemented	Yes O or No O

Local Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses

Land Use:

Resource Concerns	Practices
Ranking Score	
Efficiency:	
Local Issues:	
State Issues:	
National Issues:	

Et 15 11 6		
Final Ranking Score:		

This ranking report is for your information. It does not in any way guarantee funding. When funding becomes available, you will be notified if your application is selected for funding. Some changes to the application may be required before a final contract is awarded.

NF	RCS Designated Conservationist:	Applicant Signature Required for Contract Development:	
Sic	gnature Date:	Signature Date:	
Die	Shature Date.	Signature Date.	